## **EXHIBIT C**

## Proceedings

evidence. That is the purpose of summations.

If you find a particular attorney's analysis to be correct and accurate, and if you find that the inferences and conclusions which you are asked to draw from the evidence are logical and sensible, then you may adopt such analysis, inferences and/or conclusions either in whole or in part.

On the other hand, if you find that either of counsels' analysis of the facts or inferences or conclusions which you have been asked to draw therefrom are illogical or not warranted by the evidence, then you may disregard the same and draw your own conclusions from the evidence which you find to be credible.

Bear in mind that nothing either counsel may say in their summations is evidence in this case.

Nor is anything that I say to you in my charge to you evidence. You have heard the evidence. You, and you alone, are the sole and exclusive judges of the facts in this case.

Mr. Brewington will now begin his summation.

MR. BREWINGTON: May it please the Court,
Mr. Schalk, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it
seems like it's been three, four days since we have
been together. It's because it has been. It has

Summation - Defendant

been that long.

Let me thank you initially for showing your vigilance, showing your dedication to the task at hand, that being sitting in judgment of this young man.

I can honestly say I haven't slept a lot, and I say that because there is a certain level of tumult going on within me about some of the evidence that we have heard in this case.

This case is important. While we have had times of levity, sometimes, we have some laughs during this case, at no time did the importance of this case wane, neither did your ability to pay attention to what was going on here, and I thank you for that on behalf of Mr. Galloway and I thank you for that.

Nothing could be more important or more serious than when one of our neighbors is misidentified and falsely accused of serious charges and forced to face the fight of his life and the possible loss of a young adulthood for something he did not do. That's one of the reasons why I lost sleep these past few days.

He's accused of being in a place where he was not and doing something to someone he did not

Summation - Defendant

know and had never met before, and we are here now on this 3rd day of March, snow and all, to see justice done.

In his opening, Mr. Schalk said that the man who shot Jorge Anyosa was not wearing a mask. He forgot to also mention that he wasn't wearing a baseball cap either. He was not sitting on phone books. The man that shot Mr. Anyosa was situated with hair my length, not cornrows, not braids, my length. You heard witness after witness saying that.

The person that shot Mr. Anyosa was speaking with an accent. The man that shot Mr. Anyosa was 5-foot 10, 5-foot 11, not 5-foot 5; was driving at night; and was not missing a front tooth and didn't have on any glasses. So many things, but yet they press on.

Mr. Schalk said that they, they being
Mr. Hernandez and Mr. Anyosa, saw the shooter because
they argued with him, and that he would promise to
you that he would be able to prove his case.

Well, ladies and gentlemen, right after they finished doing their opening statement, that's where the promise ended, never fulfilled, never completed, never met.

It essentially went sour for them, ladies

Summation - Defendant

and gentlemen, and what he told you the credible evidence would show led to one thing, that Josiah Galloway, this slight individual of 5-foot 5, who they keep wanting to give more height and more inches, is not and could not be the man that shot Jorge Anyosa, could not and is not the person that was out there on West Columbia Street at 1:15 or 1:30 in the morning on March 15th, 2008.

With all the finger pointing and can you identify the person that was in the lineup and can you identify the person, all the pointing that happened, just so that there would always be somebody pointing a finger at this innocent man, with all that finger pointing, the People, through the district attorney, forgot one thing. They forgot the truth.

They forgot that this process that I ended with talking about what's up there on the wall is about the truth, and they forgot to bring along with them a certain level of credibility about what they did and how they did it to try and dissuade you that the truth is that Mr. Galloway is not the man. They want you to just turn your head away from that.

what they did was bring you a cast of some sixteen witnesses they put up there over two weeks, most of whom had little or nothing to say, on and

Summation - Defendant

off, I was there, I looked around, lights were on.

what they failed to meet was their burden on every count. They failed to prove that Josiah Galloway, that gentlemen, is the man who shot Jorge Anyosa, and they failed to do it beyond a reasonable doubt.

A reasonable doubt, one of those that really makes you just scratch your head and say, I don't know.

MR. SCHALK: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. BREWINGTON: The Judge will tell you what a reasonable doubt is, but I think this case sure makes you scratch your head.

The Judge is going to use those terms. He's going to tell you about reasonable doubt. He's going to tell you what the People have to prove, and, throughout this entire case, this table right here, this assistant district attorney, the People, have a responsibility and had the responsibility to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt.

I said to you that this is a serious case, but it's also a frightening case because of the way Mr. Galloway, who committed no crime, could be arrested, allegedly for what appears to be based on

Summation - Defendant

what the People put on, a nonsensical reason, and then was held and charged with these crimes, not held for a day, for three days.

They picked him up on the 5th, held him until the 6th and then held him until the 7th before any charges happened, had a chance to pick up his girlfriend, stopped her car, pulled her over and held her for four or five hours, and then they just continued to go on.

They charged him with crimes that are serious. There is not one point that we have disputed in this case about Mr. Anyosa being shot. He was, apparently, seriously injured, and our prayers and our thoughts go with him. But that doesn't translate into finding any old body or somebody to take the fall, particularly not an innocent person.

There's a lot of evidence about Mr. Anyosa's injuries. And the photographs, we didn't even try to dispute that. It's not in dispute.

But what is a dispute is that the police knew that this was the wrong person from the beginning and they continued to press on. They pressed on. The district attorney pressed on, and here we are at this, kind of, in-your-throat kind of

Summation - Defendant 1 2 what they have placed before you. 3 4 5 6 is going to instruct you what the People have to do. 7 8 9 work. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 picture, not how he looked. 18 19 20 21 22

gulping moment where we have to make a decision about

Mind you, Mr. Galloway has no responsibility to prove anything. He doesn't have to prove anything, anything that he says or does. The Judge

Pressing on though, these folks, the police and the district attorney, gave us a chance to see what I consider to be the underbelly of some police

I call it that because you sat and you watched as police retracted their prior testimony. Detective Lipson, remember that, who put the photo packs together, not from a description, but to make sure that Josiah Galloway was in the photo packs, that his face was going to be there in an old

He did see a composite sketch at the time he put the photo packs together. How do we know that? Well, in his testimony a question was asked:

At the time you put these photo packs together, you had already seen a composite sketch, right?

"ANSWER: Yes.

23

24

25

"QUESTION: As a matter of fact, you had a

	Summation - Defendant
1	telephone conversation with someone about that sketch
2	before you put those photo packs together, didn't
3	you?
4	"ANSWER: Yes."
5	And then Detective Lipson also revealed an
6	important fact about this entire case. When he saw
7	Mr. Galloway, he noticed something didn't match,
8	something was different, his hair. This is what the
9	testimony tells you:
10	"QUESTION: Well, sir, you noticed that when
11	you saw his hair, you noticed that it was something
12	different that made him look different, right?
13	"ANSWER: Yes."
14	Then he surprisingly changed his testimony.
15	Remember this? He says:
16	"QUESTION: And you, what you saw that made
17	him look different was the fact that his hair was
18	longer, right?
19	"ANSWER: His hair was actually I believe
20	it was called cornrows.
21	"QUESTION: Well, sir, didn't you say at an
22	earlier time that his hair was in an Afro, a big
23	blow-out Afro?
24	"ANSWER: I believe so.
25	"QUESTION: You said that under oath, didn't
	BB

	Summation - Defendant
1	you?
2	"ANSWER: Yes, I did.
3	"QUESTION: So now you're changing your
4	testimony that his hair was in cornrows, right?
5	"ANSWER: I was mistaken.
6	"QUESTION: So you are changing your
7	testimony?
8	"ANSWER: Yes, I am."
9	The trained officer was mistaken about what
10	Mr. Galloway allegedly looked like. He had a problem
11	with regard to what he looked like and identity
12	issues, the officer.
13	And he even tried to mislead you by saying,
14	not only that he was mistaken but, when asked when
15	did he realize his mistake, he said a day or two
16	later. Then, when asked if he ever told anyone to
17	correct it, he said no.
18	Ladies and gentlemen, when Detective Lipson
19	gave his testimony he was asked:
20	"QUESTION: When did you see him and his
21	hair was in cornrows, you didn't discuss that
22	difference with any of the other officers, did you?
23	"ANSWER: No.
24	"QUESTION: Did you discuss with them that,
25	based on your observations, his hair didn't match

	Summation - Defendant
1	what was in the photographs?
2	"ANSWER: No.
3	"QUESTION: But you did notice that, right?
4	"ANSWER: Yeah."
5	Then he goes on to talk about that he had
6	the opportunity to take photographs and he chose not
7	to, and that he knew that Mr. Galloway didn't look
8	like the individuals in the photo packs, but he
9	pressed on:
10	"QUESTION: And, sir, you knew at the time
11	that Mr. Galloway was in front of you that the
12	description of the person that was the alleged
13	shooter was that his hair was cut tight to his head,
14	right?
15	"ANSWER: When are you talking about?
16	"QUESTION: The time that you made your way
17	back to the armory.
18	"ANSWER: Yes.
19	"QUESTION: And you noticed that
20	Mr. Galloway's hair was not cut tight to his head,
21	right?
22	"ANSWER: Yes. Well, it was in the cornrows
23	which is tight to his head.
24	"QUESTION: Sir, did you give this
25	testimony:
][	ВВ

	Summation - Defendant
1	""Question: Would it be accurate to say
2	that at the time you saw Mr. Galloway, that you knew
3	that his hair was not cut tight to his head?
4	""Answer: It would be fair to say
5	that?""
6	"Did you give that testimony?
7	"ANSWER: Yes.
8	"QUESTION: So not only did you notice that
9	his hair was in cornrows, you also noticed that it
10	was not consistent with the description, right?
11	"ANSWER: It was tight to his head in
12	cornrows. I was mistaken when I gave that saying it
13	was "
14	That's the officer.
15	Ladies and gentlemen, when he was asked this
16	very specific question:
17	"QUESTION: In your prior testimony, you
18	agreed it was not cut tight to his head, right?
19	"ANSWER: Right.
20	"QUESTION: So you are changing your
21	testimony now?
22	"ANSWER: I was mistaken in my prior
23	testimony.
24	"QUESTION: So when you gave sworn testimony
25	before as a witness, that was mistaken, right?
	RR

100	
	Summation - Defendant
1	"ANSWER: That part was mistaken.
2	"QUESTION: When did you realize that it was
3	mistaken?
4	"ANSWER: Probably a day or two later."
5	And then he was asked:
6	Did you tell anybody? He said no.
7	The man that conducted the photo packs
8	noticed the obvious difference and he kept going. He
9	kept it to himself, didn't let anybody else that
10	should or might know, know.
11	Then, using that framework, we go to the
12	People's first witness, Mr. Ogletree. Remember him,
13	the gentleman that's doing time for federal drug
14	charges and violation of probation and has multiple,
15	multiple felonies, some violent. The one who they
16	put on the stand to prove their case. A man who said
17	he knows Josiah Galloway and he says that Josiah
18	Galloway, in his words, did not shoot anybody.
19	That's their witness.
20	He was coached and coerced, he said. He
21	told us that this is on direct:
22	"QUESTION: You're saying now you were
23	coached and it was coerced?"
24	His answer: Absolutely, sir.
25	Then when he showed him a document.
	BB

	Summation - Defendant
1	"QUESTION: You've seen that document
2	before, yes?
3	"ANSWER: Yeah, I seen it for the first
4	time, I believe it was, the other day."
5	He was asked another question:
6	"QUESTION: What did you tell the police on
7	June 5th, 2008?
8	"ANSWER: I told the police multiple
9	statements, but they broke it down to how they wanted
10	to break it down and put it on paper."
11	They put it together. If you remember what
12	he said, he said: I told them a bunch of things and
13	they took pieces of this and pieces of this and tried
14	to put a story together.
15	And the police in this situation, ladies and
16	gentlemen, had Mr. Ogletree and had Mr. Galloway,
17	and, with respect to May 15th, he didn't tell the
18	police anything. He tells the police this:
19	"QUESTION: That wasn't my question. I'm
20	asking you what you told them specifically about a
21	shooting of a cab driver at or near Jackson Street
22	near a chicken place. What did you tell them with
23	respect to that?
24	"ANSWER: I didn't tell them anything."
25	His sworn testimony, the one that they put

Summation - Defendant 1 on the stand. Then he tells them of a document that they 2 3 created, that he was, at that time, being charged 4 with false allegations that were dismissed. 5 And he says, in response to this question: 6 "QUESTION: Did you sign this written statement? 7 "ANSWER: I signed the written statement. 8 It's my signature on the bottom. 9 "QUESTION: And you signed it and you read 10 it -- you read over it before you signed it, right? 11 12 "ANSWER: Uh, no, I don't remember reading over it before I signed it." 13 Then he says, in part of one of his answers 14 15 that tells the story: 16 They kept me in there. They kept me in there for hours. They threatened me with all kinds 17 18 of charges, everything. And every charge that they 19 threatened me with and everything they asked me 20 about, how I know Josiah, the shooting that happened 21 with Josiah, when they came looking for him -objection. 22 23 "ANSWER: All those stories that I gave them, breakfast in the morning, Josiah saying it's 24

25

Summation - Defendant their own story together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They say, You're sure he didn't tell you that he's leaving the next morning because he shot somebody at the chicken spot? They just kept going on and on for hours trying to get me on some chicken spot. And, honestly, Josiah didn't do it. He didn't do that, but I was weak and they coerced me and they got me to sign that paper.

That was his testimony. That was their first witness. He also said that the police kept focusing on Josiah Galloway.

He was asked the question:

"QUESTION: When they spoke to you, did they focus on Josiah Galloway when they spoke with you? "ANSWER: Absolutely. Absolutely."

Then we go to Mr. Anyosa, the victim, a man who went through a traumatic experience, a man who the district attorney stood right here and told you that he'll never forget what that person looked like. He was rehearsed. He was prepared, and that's to be expected. I would not anticipate the People putting on anybody who is not prepared and rehearsed, but they couldn't rehearse for all of the cross-examination to come to kind of get to the truth.

1	Summation - Defendant
1	when it comes to talking about preparation,
2	he was asked this question:
3	"QUESTION: How many times did you meet with
4	the DA, sir?
5	"ANSWER: Twice.
6	"QUESTION: When was the last time?
7	Yesterday.
8	"QUESTION: And you were shown that
9	document, right?
10	"ANSWER: Yes.
11	"QUESTION: And you were shown the photo
12	array, correct?
13	"ANSWER: Yes.
14	"QUESTION: And you were shown copies of the
15	automobiles?
16	"ANSWER: Yes.
17	"QUESTION: And how long did you meet with
18	the DA yesterday? That was Sunday?
19	"ANSWER: Yes.
20	"QUESTION: How long were you with the DA?
21	"ANSWER: For forty minutes.
22	"QUESTION: And then you met with the DA
23	before then, correct?
24	"ANSWER: Yes.
25	"QUESTION: When?
	BB

	Summation - Defendant
1	"ANSWER: I think it was last week.
2	"QUESTION: Where was that? Was that here
3	in this building?
4	"ANSWER: Yes.
5	"QUESTION: Where did you meet yesterday?
6	"QUESTION: Where did you meet yesterday, by
7	the way?
8	"ANSWER: In his office."
9	In his office.
10	"QUESTION: Where was that? Here in this
11	building?
12	"ANSWER: Yes.
13	"QUESTION: When you met last week, how long
14	did you meet with the DA?"
15	Not long.
16	"ANSWER: Not long, twenty minutes most.
17	"QUESTION: When you went to the DA's office
18	yesterday and met, did you go through the questions
19	that you were going to be asked you?
20	"ANSWER: Yes."
21	So when I call him a rehearsed witness, he
22	knew the questions and he knew the answers. They had
23	gone through it before.
24	But this is what they couldn't prepare for:
25	"QUESTION: And you told them the person the
	RR

	30020 7 MM2 00
	Summation - Defendant
1	person was between five-ten and five-eleven, right?
2	"ANSWER: That was correct."
3	They couldn't rehearse him for:
4	"QUESTION: And you know the difference
5	between close hair and braids, don't you?
6	"ANSWER: Yes, sir.
7	"QUESTION: You know the difference between
8	close hair and cornrows braids, don't you?
9	"ANSWER: Yes, sir.
10	"QUESTION: Isn't it true that the person
11	that shot you didn't have cornrows?
12	"ANSWER: Excuse me? What was the question?
13	"QUESTION: Sure. The person that shot you,
14	that person's hair was short to the head, right?
1.5	"ANSWER: Short, yes.
16	"QUESTION: It wasn't cornrows, was it?
17	"ANSWER: No, no cornrows.
18	"QUESTION: It wasn't a big Afro, was it?
19	"ANSWER: No.
20	"QUESTION: And the person that shot you
21	wasn't 5-foot 5 inches tall, was he?
22	"ANSWER: It was five five-eleven.
23	"QUESTION: Sir, let me ask you, you are
24	how tall?
25	"ANSWER: Five-ten.
	ВВ
111	

- 4 Hr	Summation - Defendant
1	"QUESTION: And the person that shot you,
2	you argued with him, didn't you?
3	"ANSWER: Yes.
4	"QUESTION: As a matter of fact, you slapped
5	his hand when he went like this, as you indicated,
6	right?
7	"ANSWER: Yes.
8	"QUESTION: And said, Get the fuck out of
9	here, right?
10	"ANSWER: Yes, I say that.
11	"QUESTION: You cursed at him and smacked
12	his hand, didn't you?
13	"ANSWER: Yeah, because it was pointed at
14	me.
15	"QUESTION: Sir, did you do that?
16	"ANSWER: Yes, sir.
17	"QUESTION: When you did that and you
18	smacked his hand, you were close enough, within arm's
19	reach of him, and you could see his height, couldn't
20	you?
21	"ANSWER: Yes.
22	"QUESTION: And you know that his height at
23	that time was five-ten or five-eleven, right?
24	"ANSWER: That's what I said.
25	"QUESTION: Which was approximately your
	RR

71	
	Summation - Defendant
1	height or a little taller, right?
2	"ANSWER: Yes, sir."
3	That's what they couldn't prepare him for
4	and they couldn't prepare him to take away the fact
5	that he knew that the person that shot him had some
6	form of accent, and he testified about that:
7	"QUESTION: Well, sir, you also told the
8	police that this person who shot you had an accent,
9	right? Didn't you tell the police that?
10	"ANSWER: I'd say it was accent, yeah.
11	"QUESTION: Yes, and, sir, the accent that
12	this person had, what type of accent was it, do you
13	recall?
14	"ANSWER: I don't know what to say.
15	"QUESTION: Sir, when you reported that this
16	person had an accent, that was when they came to
17	speak to you in the hospital, right?
18	"ANSWER: I think I said that."
19	So it was still fresh on his mind that the
20	person had an accent, that the person was 5-foot,
21	five-eleven, that the person had hair like mine.
22	By the way, make sure my hair grew through
23	the two and a half weeks we were together.
24	They couldn't prepare him for that. They
25	also couldn't prepare him for the fact that this
- 19	BB

- 4	Summation - Defendant
1	gentlemen said, in response to this question:
2	And, sir, the person that you say shot you
3	was 25 years of age to 30 years of age, right?
4	"ANSWER: That's what I said."
5	The age of this person that shot him doesn't
6	match either. Josiah Galloway was 21 at the time.
7	With regard to the car, they tried
8	remember I said during my opening that you will see
9	that they try to get the car to morph, to change from
10	a Toyota Corolla, as they first explained it, that
11	was a particular color, to something that was, maybe,
12	light green or a different form of a car.
13	This is what Mr. Anyosa says when he gave
14	this first description:
15	"QUESTION: Sir, you indicated that the car
16	that you described was dark gray; is that right?
17	"ANSWER: That's what I said.
18	"QUESTION: What's that?
19	"ANSWER: I say gray, gray, dark."
20	His testimony.
21	And then he talk about the Toyota and the
22	tinted windows. Remember the testimony of Dluginski,
23	as well as the witness, about the windows being
24	tinted, on a scale of eight scale of one to ten,
25	being an eight in terms of being dark.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Summation - Defendant

And then he says that he was standing face to face with this individual and he saw this individual repeatedly say these words:

"QUESTION: Did you hear the person speaking, correct?

"ANSWER: Only the word fuck you. That's all I heard.

"QUESTION: I'm sorry?

"ANSWER: It's only the words fuck you."

well, ladies and gentlemen, if Josiah Galloway was there saying F you over and over again, fa, fa, fa, fa, his teeth are going to show, no question about it. You just can't say fuck you. You can't do it. Teeth are going to show. You would have seen his missing front tooth that he's had since he was a youngster.

That's why we brought the dentist in, so they couldn't turn around and say we don't know when he lost his tooth. You would have seen it. It would have been obvious.

Why is that important? Because we asked --I asked Mr. Anyosa, you were pretty much face to face, eye to eye with this individual? You could see his face clearly? And he said yes.

And if this person was go fa, fa, he

-11	
	Summation - Defendant
1	would have seen it, and he probably did see the
2	person go fa, fa, fa, using the F word, but it wasn't
3	that man.
4	You even saw the air heard the air
5	escaping from his mouth with the microphone because
6	of his tooth.
7	That would have been something when they
8	put it on this little form that says was there
9	anything distinguishable about the individual, his
10	answer was, nothing distinguishable. It says it
11	right here, any oddities, none noticed, People's 18
12	in evidence.
13	He was face to face and we asked him
14	questions:
15	"QUESTION: You were face to face?
16	"ANSWER: Face to face.
17	"QUESTION: Kind of like the way we are face
18	to face, my nose is lined up with your nose?
19	"ANSWER: Yes.
20	"QUESTION: My eyes being lined up with your
21	eyes?
22	"ANSWER: Yes.
23	"QUESTION: My mouth pointing towards you?
24	"ANSWER: Yes.
25	"QUESTION: When you slapped his hand, you
	ВВ

- 1	Summation - Defendant
1	were, essentially, an arm's length away, like I am
2	with the assistant district attorney; is that
3	correct?
4	"ANSWER: That's correct.
5	"QUESTION: When you were face to face with
6	him, right?
7	"ANSWER: Correct.
8	"QUESTION: So when you slapped his hand,
9	what was it that you told him?
10	"ANSWER: Do not point the finger to my
11	head.
12	"QUESTION: So didn't you actually say these
13	words, Get the fuck out of here?
14	"ANSWER: I say that.
15	"QUESTION: When you did that, sir, this
16	person's eyes lined up with yours. You are basically
17	the same height, right?
18	"ANSWER: Same height."
19	There is no question that Mr. Anyosa and
20	Mr. Hernandez both said that the individual that shot
21	Mr. Anyosa had short hair. There's no equivocation
22	about that.
23	When he was asked, When you did that, sir,
24	the person that you saw, same type of hairstyle?
25	"ANSWER: It was the same person.

	Summation - Defendant
1	"QUESTION: With the short hair, right.
2	"ANSWER: Yes, it was short.
3	"QUESTION: Yes?
4	"ANSWER: Short hair."
5	He continued to say that it was short hair.
6	He did not pick cornrows as one of the options
7	available to him when the sketch artist came to him.
8	That's important.
9	"QUESTION: Now, when the officer was
10	showing you hairstyles because you did see
11	different types of hairstyles?
12	"ANSWER: Yes.
13	"QUESTION: One of the choices that was
14	available to you was cornrows, right?
15	"ANSWER: Sure.
16	"QUESTION: And you didn't pick cornrows,
17	did you?
18	"ANSWER: No.
19	"QUESTION: Because the person that shot you
20	didn't have cornrows, right?
21	"ANSWER: Right.
22	"QUESTION: Am I correct?
23	"ANSWER: Yes.
24	"QUESTION: And the person that shot you
25	didn't have an Afro, right?
	DD.

	Summation - Defendant
1	"ANSWER: No.
2	"QUESTION: The person that shot you had
3	hair that was cut short to the head, either very
4	short or less than a half an inch, right?
5	"ANSWER: I would say an inch, little more
6	maybe.
7	"QUESTION: And when you were asked on the
8	18th excuse me on the 19th, about any oddities
9	in their face, you were asked that, weren't you?
10	"ANSWER: Excuse me?
11	"QUESTION: Anything distinguishing about
12	the person's face?
13	"ANSWER: Right.
14	"QUESTION: And you said there were none
15	that were noticed, correct?
16	"ANSWER: Yes."
17	He never saw Josiah Galloway on that day,
18	ladies and gentlemen, because Josiah Galloway wasn't
19	there.
20	One of the things that turns out to be very
21	interesting in this case is that, even though when
22	they picked Josiah Galloway up on June 5th, they knew
23	that Josiah Galloway had braids and that his hair was
24	not cut short to his head.
25	I have put up on the easel, Judge, the blow

	Summation - Defendant
1	up of Mr. Galloway that was taken on June 5th.
2	They knew that Mr. Galloway had these
3	cornrows in his head. Clearly, you can see his
4	scalp. Clearly, you can see the design, even though
5	this is a grainy picture taken from the picture that
6	was given to us that the police took. They knew what
7	his hair looked like.
8	When asked this question about this
9	photograph or ever seeing a photograph of
10	Mr. Galloway with braids, Mr. Anyosa had this to say:
11	"QUESTION: Now, sir, have you ever been
12	shown a photograph of Mr. Galloway with braids?
13	"ANSWER: No.
14	"QUESTION: Every photograph that was shown
15	to you of Mr. Galloway from the date that this
16	incident happened all the way up until today, wasn't
17	it with him with short hair, right?
18	"ANSWER: Yes.
19	"QUESTION: Or a baseball cap on, right?
20	"ANSWER: Baseball cap, yes.
21	"QUESTION: But never with his braids,
22	right?
23	"ANSWER: Nope."
24	That's what the eye witness says, the one
25	that is the victim in this situation. That's what he
	ВВ

44	
	Summation - Defendant
1	says. And, ladies and gentlemen, he didn't
2	equivocate about any of that. He was very clear that
3	that's what happened, that he had never seen this.
4	And he made it very clear that the person
5	that they were showing him and that we showed him
6	here in the courtroom, we said, Sir, you ever seen
7	that photograph before?
8	"ANSWER: No, sir. First time I've seen it.
9	"QUESTION: Anybody in the DA's office or
10	police ever tell you that Mr. Galloway had braids?
11	"ANSWER: No.
12	"QUESTION: And, sir, that photograph that's
13	there, you recognize it as being those as being
14	braids, cornrows, don't you?
15	"ANSWER: Yes.
16	"QUESTION: It is, right?
17	"ANSWER: Yes.
18	"QUESTION: Would it be accurate to say
19	that, with regard to that photograph, the hair on the
20	individual doesn't match the individual that shot
21	you, right?
22	"ANSWER: Just the hair.
23	"QUESTION: The hair is different, right?
24	"ANSWER: Yes.
25	"QUESTION: The hair is completely
	BB

1.00	
M.	Summation - Defendant
1	different, right?
2	"ANSWER: Yes."
3	Why is that important? Why all this time
4	about hair and height? Because Mr. Anyosa was told
5	by the police not to pay attention to the hair.
6	His testimony:
7	"QUESTION: That means you couldn't see the
8	hair of any of them, right?
9	"ANSWER: Yes. They told me not to see the
10	hair.
11	"QUESTION: They told you not to look at the
12	hair, right? That's what they told you?
13	"ANSWER: Just look at the face."
14	Then we have Mr. Hernandez. He spoke about
15	a Toyota from day one.
16	He said, in response to the question, And
17	wouldn't it be accurate to say that back on the 15th
18	of May, that being the morning of the incident, that
19	you gave a description of a car being a Toyota
20	four-door sedan, right?
21	"ANSWER: Yes."
22	And he also gave a description of the person
23	being 26 or 30. That's in his testimony.
24	And he also gave a description of the person
25	being five-ten. That's in his testimony.
	BB

44	
	Summation - Defendant
1	And then, when we asked him about the hair,
2	he says:
3	"QUESTION: And this person had hair that
4	was short to his head, right?
5	"ANSWER: It was short at that moment.
6	"QUESTION: It was short at that moment?
7	"ANSWER: It was short hair."
8	We asked him again about that and asked him
9	particularly about braids and cornrows. This is
10	Mr. Hernandez, the young man that was there earlier
11	before Mr. Anyosa was shot:
12	"QUESTION: You know what cornrows braids
13	are, don't you, sir? You've seen braids, haven't
14	you?
15	"ANSWER: Braids, yes.
16	"QUESTION: And there's a difference between
17	braids and someone with short hair close to the head,
18	isn't there?
19	"ANSWER: Yes.
20	"QUESTION: Describe for the jury what's the
21	difference?
22	"ANSWER: Short hair just just it's
23	short hair."
24	Braided hair. It's got like braids.
25	Not quite sure.
	BB

-31	Summation - Defendant
1	"QUESTION: The person that you had the
2	argument with, his hair was shorter than mine?
3	"ANSWER: No. It was about it was about
4	your type.
5	"QUESTION: Like mine?
6	"ANSWER: Yeah."
7	I asked him about the teeth:
8	"QUESTION: When you say not very much, did
9	you notice anything unusual about their teeth?
10	"ANSWER: No."
11	That's important because he says that he was
12	able to see the person's mouth. We asked him a
13	question:
14	"QUESTION: And you were able to see not
15	only his hair, right?
16	"ANSWER: Yes.
17	"QUESTION: But you could also see his
18	mouth, right?
19	"ANSWER: Pretty much."
20	I found it interesting that he had no memory
21	of Mr. Anyosa slapping whoever had shot him's hand
22	down and saying get the F out of here.
23	But one of the things that was pretty clear,
24	when we asked him questions specifically about braids
25	and no Afro, Mr. Hernandez verified, verified that it
	BB

	Summation - Defendant
1	wasn't Mr. Galloway.
2	MR. SCHALK: Objection, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Conclusion of the jury.
4	MR. BREWINGTON: If they had braids, you
5	would have noticed that, right?
6	"ANSWER: Yes.
7	"QUESTION: And this person that was in
8	front of you for the two minutes didn't have braids,
9	right?
10	"ANSWER: No.
11	"QUESTION: And the person that you were
12	arguing with for two minutes, did that person have an
13	Afro, that being about three, about four or
14	five inches big?
15	"ANSWER: No.
16	"QUESTION: And if they did, you would have
17	noticed that too, right?
18	"ANSWER: I could have noticed it.
19	"QUESTION: I am not asking you what you
20	could have. You would have, right?
21	"ANSWER: Yes."
22	And he was never shown a picture of
23	Mr. Galloway with braids. We asked him that too:
24	"QUESTION: Sir, ever shown any photographs
25	of Mr. Galloway in braids?
	BB

1116	Summation - Defendant
1	"ANSWER: NO.
2	"QUESTION: I would ask you to take a look
3	at Defendant's D for identification
4	And, Judge, up here on the board I have D1,
5	on the board. That's the big blow up.
6	"QUESTION: I would ask you to take a look
7	at Defendant's D for identification. Anybody ever
8	show you that photograph before, sir?
9	"ANSWER: No.
10	"QUESTION: The hair the hair that's on
11	that person in that photograph, that's not the same
12	type of hair as the person that you had the argument
13	with, is it?
14	"ANSWER: NO.
15	"QUESTION: It's different, right?
16	"ANSWER: The hair is different.
17	"QUESTION: The hair is different because
18	the hair is braids, right?
19	"ANSWER: Yes.
20	"QUESTION: And you know the difference
21	between what's depicted in that photograph and short
22	hair, or short hair like mine, right?
23	"ANSWER: Yes.
24	"QUESTION: And if the person that you had
25	the argument with had braids, you would have told the

- 1	Summation - Defendant
1	police that, right?
2	"ANSWER: Yes.
3	"QUESTION: But he didn't, did he?
4	"ANSWER: He didn't have any braids. I
5	don't remember any braids.
6	"QUESTION: The person you were having the
7	argument with, missing any teeth?
8	"ANSWER: I don't remember.
9	"QUESTION: Well, sir, the person that you
10	were very close to, they cursed at you, correct?
11	"ANSWER: Yes.
12	"QUESTION: Argued with you, correct?
13	"ANSWER: Yes.
14	"QUESTION: And you were able to see them as
15	they were that close?
16	"ANSWER: Yes.
17	"QUESTION: And as you sit here now, you
18	have no memory of any missing teeth, do you?
19	"ANSWER: I don't remember."
20	Ladies and gentlemen, those are the eye
21	witnesses. The Judge is going to give you a charge
22	about identification and eye witnesses or the law on
23	that.
24	I believe that they those gentlemen,
25	about what they said about Mr. Galloway, I truly
	BB

Summation - Defendant believe they have no malice, but they are mistaken. 1 2 They are sadly and badly mistaken about the identity 3 of the person that they have been, in our view, assisting to try and identify. 4 when we look at what the police and what the 5 6 officers did in this case, I think it's important for 7 you, ladies and gentlemen, to consider that, in this case, some of the statements of the officers stretch 8 9 their credibility. Credibility becomes important in this case 10 11 because it's really coming down to them saying 12 believe us, believe what we say, and don't believe that Mr. Galloway wasn't there that night. 13 MR. SCHALK: Objection, your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: Overruled. MR. BREWINGTON: Police Officer Horowitz, 16 17 the gentleman who said that he wanted to be a 18 detective, do you remember him? 19 "QUESTION: The photograph of Josiah 20 Galloway that was taken on June 5th, at the time that 21 you went and did the photo arrays, which was also on 22 June 5th, you knew that Josiah Galloway looked -what he looked like on that day, didn't you? 23 24 "ANSWER: Yes, I did.

"QUESTION: And you knew that he had

25

	Summation - Defendant
1	cornrows, right?
2	"ANSWER: Yes.
3	"QUESTION: And you also knew that not only
4	did he have cornrows, but that he was there in the
5	armory if you wanted to take a picture of him, right?
6	"ANSWER: Yes."
7	Then we go on with Officer Horowitz from the
8	Hempstead Police Department:
9	"QUESTION: And the reason I asked you
10	withdrawn.
11	When you showed the photo array, you showed
12	the photograph of Mr. Galloway not as he appeared on
13	June 5th, right?
14	"ANSWER: Correct.
15	"QUESTION: You showed a photograph of how
16	he appeared two years earlier, right?
17	"ANSWER: I don't think it was quite that
18	long."
19	Obviously he knew.
20	"QUESTION: Well, sir, it was over a year
21	earlier, wasn't it?
22	"ANSWER: Yes, it was.
23	"QUESTION: As a matter of fact, you showed
24	a photograph that had him in short hair, right, in
25	the array?
	ВВ

	Summation - Defendant
1	"ANSWER: Yes.
2	"QUESTION: Not in braids, right?
3	"ANSWER: Correct."
4	And then we asked him, Officer Horowitz:
5	"QUESTION: How long does it take for
6	someone to grow from an inch or an inch and a half,
7	or even two inches, maybe like my length
8	"ANSWER: I don't know.
9	"QUESTION: to five inches high?
10	"ANSWER: I don't know."
11	Detective Horowitz was asked other
12	questions. Talk about credibility now, credibility
13	of the officers that get up there, say anything, try
14	and convince you of anything just because they say
15	who they are. This is the question:
16	"QUESTION: Officer, how tall am I?"
17	About five-ten, five-eight. Five-eight to
18	five-ten, approximation.
19	"QUESTION: If I'm five-eight to five-ten,
20	you're saying Mr. Galloway is my height?
21	"ANSWER: I believe so."
22	Judge, I'm going to ask the Court's
23	permission, may I ask Mr. Galloway stand for a
24	second?
25	THE COURT: Okay.
	BB

Summation - Defendant 1 MR. BREWINGTON: Stand up tall, sir. 2 The officer was making me five-eight, 3 five-eleven. On the college roster, I was 6-foot, Judge, but, even so, down by four or five inches, 4 5 easy. The officer wanted you to believe Mr. Galloway 6 was my height. That's what they were doing. 7 Then we asked Officer Horowitz: 8 You learned that the car had a missing hub 9 cap on the left front tire? "ANSWER: I remember that the car had a 10 missing hub cap. I don't know which it was, left or 11 12 right." 13 All of a sudden their credibility becomes a 14 real question in this case because someone is 15 questioning them, someone is pushing them, someone is 16 saying let's search for the truth because, when you 17 have this man sitting in the armory, no one was 18 interested in finding the truth. 19 Well, let's deal with credibility a little 20 21 22

23

24

25

bit more because -- remember the carrying detective. the one that's responsible for this case, Dluginski? He said he could tell it was a small sedan, on direct examination.

Remember, we brought him up here, and he says there's a small sedan going past here, like

- 46	Summation - Defendant
1	nobody was going to pay attention to that, so that
2	you would think there was a small car, this person
3	was shot from a small car, maybe it was the Mazda,
4	maybe it was the Honda.
5	Do you remember when we had him on
6	cross-examination. We got him right from there right
7	back over there. If I could have gotten him there
8	any faster, I would have.
9	"QUESTION: where is the small sedan?
10	"ANSWER: Right here."
11	This is what he's telling you.
12	"QUESTION: You say the small sedan, based
13	on what fact? Withdrawn. What's the make of that
14	car?
15	"ANSWER: I couldn't tell you the make of
16	the car.
17	"QUESTION: How many doors does it have?
18	"ANSWER: I don't know.
19	"QUESTION: How far does the car extend back
20	outside the frame of the picture?
21	"ANSWER: It doesn't.
22	"QUESTION: Well, no, on the outside of the
23	frame of the picture?
24	"ANSWER: I thought you meant coming on
25	in and out of the frame itself.

13 <del>C</del> 2.19-6V-	03020-AMD-30 Document 03-3 Filed 03/14/20 Fage 41 01 02 Fage1D #. 004
	Summation - Defendant
1	"QUESTION: That's not the whole car there,
2	is it?
3	"ANSWER: No.
4	"QUESTION: And, sir, is it a foreign or
5	domestic make?
6	"ANSWER: I don't know.
7	"QUESTION: Tire size, do you know?
8	"ANSWER: No.
9	"QUESTION: Luxury size car, do you know?
10	"ANSWER: No.
11	"QUESTION: So you don't know if it's a
12	small sedan, do you?
13	"ANSWER: I'm
14	"QUESTION: Sir, do you know whether it's a
15	small sedan, yes or no?
16	"ANSWER: No."
17	Why would he just get up and start to say
18	it's a small sedan? Just to try to make it
19	convenient, to make it fit? This is a young man's
20	future we are dealing with here.
21	MR. SCHALK: Objection.
22	THE COURT: Overruled.
23	MR. BREWINGTON: And they want to make it
24	fit.
25	Then we had this discussion with
	BB

Detective Dluginski, that he spoke to Detective Ross about Mr. Galloway's hair and, of course,
Detective Ross says, no, that never happened.
Detective Dluginski talks about the shaded windows and the height being five-ten, five-eleven, short hair, the description the hair was like mine, and claims that nothing is missing from the video.

Do you remember People's 20 in evidence?

They put the DVD in that we eventually got him up there to look at.

Again, credibility is important, because we asked him, sir -- and that was after we talked to Mr. Carroll, the video expert, the guy with the radio voice. We asked him:

Sir, you said on direct examination there was nothing missing from that. How do you know that?

Well, I remember.

You remember from when?

He remembered from seeing it back in May, 15th, 2008, for about ten minutes, and had never seen it since. He could tell you, swear to you, that there was nothing missing.

Ladies and gentlemen, it's not our argument there's anything there that would hurt the defense at all. As a matter of fact, we wish it were as clear

### Summation - Defendant

as a bell. But why would they make statements to you that they can't confirm, particularly when they have hired an expert to come in here and say there's probably some stuff missing and we can't tell you what is missing and what's not.

He was straight forward, reasonable, a nice gentlemen. I thought he did decent work, considering they couldn't figure out how to make the tape work.

But why would Detective Dluginski do that?
Why stretch his credibility?

Ladies and gentlemen, Detective Lipson, I talked about before, but one of the things I just want to be clear about with him, the fact that he had memory lapses just shows you that memory in this situation, identification, is subject for real, real question.

He's a trained person. He's trained to remember details, and he couldn't remember
Mr. Galloway's hair. You expect the witnesses to come in here and say, oh, yeah, that's the person?

Then we have Detective Ross. Do you remember Detective Ross? He's the gentleman that did People's Exhibit 30. That's this lineup with the six people with the baseball caps on. We asked him a question very specifically. We said:

e 2.19-cv-	05026-AMD-30 Document 63-3 Filed 05/14/20 Page 44 01 62 Page1D #. 6
- 1	Summation - Defendant
1	"QUESTION: Didn't you tell the jury that
2	the reason why the August 5th lineup didn't take
3	place was because the hair was different from the
2 3 4 5	arrest photo?"
5	Pointing to D1, Judge.
6	This was his answer:
7	"ANSWER: Yes, the lineup didn't go forward
8	because his hair was out."
9	His scalp was breathing, like it is here in
10	H1. That's his hair, three, four, five inches of
11	hair.
12	We asked him this question:
13	And then, on the 14th, his hair was like it
14	was in the arrest photo, right?
15	"ANSWER: Close yes.
16	"QUESTION: And then you put a hat on his
17	head anyhow, right?
18	"ANSWER: Correct.
19	"QUESTION: And you wouldn't allow him to
20	have his hair in cornrows the way it was in the
21	arrest photo. You made that decision, right?
22	"ANSWER: Correct."
23	They took his hair away from him. I said
24	that to you before and I asked the detective that

question. And it's relevant because the very things

1089 Summation - Defendant 1 that would allow him to be able to set himself free 2 and prove his innocence, they took from him 3 purposely, not unwittingly, but purposely. 4 That's the thing that really made me loose 5 sleep these past four days, because the more I thought of it and the more I read, and that's when I 6 took the time and found this stuff for you, ladies 7 and gentlemen, it smacks of an innocent man being 8 9 falsely accused. Detective Ross: 10 11

"QUESTION: Sir, the hair under the hats, People's 33, the hair under the hats, that being under Mr. Galloway's hat, what was the condition of the hair underneath the hat, braided, not braided?

"ANSWER: Braided.

"QUESTION: Like in cornrows as you mentioned?

"ANSWER: Yes.

"QUESTION: Is it true, sir, that if the hair under the hat was in cornrows, you might not have used the hat?"

This is where we start dealing with credibility for real.

> "QUESTION: What do you mean --" Excuse me.

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

11	
	Summation - Defendant
1	"ANSWER: What do you mean if it's true?
2	"QUESTION: Yeah.
3	"ANSWER: He would have been the only person
4	that had cornrows.
5	"QUESTION: And you're not sure if you would
6	have used the hat, right?
7	"ANSWER: No, I would have used the hat.
8	"QUESTION: Did you give this testimony,
9	page 244 of the prior proceeding starting at line
10	six. "
11	And this is what I read to him.
12	""Question: Sir, did you ever see him
13	with short hair?
14	""Answer: I saw him. I saw an arrest
15	photo of him.
16	""Question: And the arrest photo was
17	from what year?
18	""Answer: This year.
19	""Question: And the arrest photo
20	showed cornrows, didn't it?
21	""Answer: Correct.
22	""Question: And you're calling that
23	short hair?
24	""Answer: Correct.
25	""Question: That's your interpretation
	ВВ

	Summation - Defendant
1	of short hair?
2	""Answer: Yes.
3	""Question: Just so we are clear, the
4	description that you got when you are setting up the
5	lineup was for short hair, not cornrows, correct?
6	""Answer: Correct.
7	""Question: So if Mr. Galloway had
8	cornrows, you wouldn't have used hats?
9	""Answer: I don't know if I would have
10	used hats or not."
11	His statement about Mr. Galloway and why he
12	used hats makes absolutely no sense. It really makes
13	no sense.
14	Then he is asked more specific questions,
15	because he made this statement about Mr. Galloway
16	coming in on the 14th with his hair in an Afro like
17	it's here in H1, and that Mr. Galloway and he
18	forgot that we remembered he had said that he was
19	handcuffed but that Mr. Galloway took care of the
20	hair himself.
21	"QUESTION: How long does it take to put
22	somebody's hair in cornrows? Please tell the jury.
23	"ANSWER: I don't know, Counsel.
24	"QUESTION: Sir, are you saying that this
25	man braided his own hair in the precinct, braided?
	ВВ

	Summation - Defendant
1	Withdrawn.
2 3 4 5 6	"Are you saying that this gentleman, on the
3	14th, braided his own hair?"
4	He sat up there and said yes.
5	"QUESTION: And put it in cornrows?"
6	That's the question: Put it in cornrows?
7	He said correct.
8	And I asked him this question: Isn't he
9	handcuffed to the bench?
10	Answer this is the response: He's
11	secured in an arrest room and can't get out.
12	He didn't answer about the handcuffs right
13	then.
14	"QUESTION: Sir, that's not my question. My
15	question was, was he handcuffed to a bench, yes or
16	no?"
17	His first answer.
18	"ANSWER: Part of the day, yes.
19	"QUESTION: How many hands does it take to
20	cornrow somebody's hair, sir, much less your own?
21	"ANSWER: I don't have cornrows. I don't
22	know.
23	"QUESTION: So you don't know, do you?
24	"ANSWER: No.
25	"QUESTION: When you said that he cornrowed
	BB

	Summation - Defendant
1	is own hair, you lied, didn't you?
2 3	"ANSWER: No, I did not.
	"QUESTION: Sir, you never saw him cornrow
4	his hair, did you?
5	"ANSWER: Yes, I did.
6 7	"QUESTION: With which hand?
7	"ANSWER: I don't know, both. I don't know.
8	"QUESTION: Did he have his hand like this,
9	down to the bench?"
10	Do you remember me going through this trying
11	to figure it out?
12	How did he do it? Tell the jury how he did
13	it?
14	This was his testimony, the detective: He
15	was uncuffed in the arrest room and he put his hair
16	into cornrows.
17	We went on. I just couldn't leave it alone.
18	"QUESTION: Now, with regard to your claim
19	that this individual, that being Mr. Galloway,
20	cornrowed his own hair, is it your sworn testimony
21	that you watched him do it?
22	"ANSWER: I saw him doing it, not the entire
23	time. I was busy the entire day.
24	"QUESTION: How much did he do? Show the
25	jury what actions he took to put his hair in
	BB

## 1094 Summation - Defendant cornrows. "ANSWER: I don't know how he does it. don't know how to do it. "QUESTION: Do you know -- withdrawn. "QUESTION: I know you don't know how to do it because you've never seen it done. My question to you is, tell the jury how you swear that you saw the man putting -- this man putting cornrows in his hair, what did he do to manipulate his hair? "ANSWER: I don't know." Then we had to press him a little further. Do you remember me asking him the question -- I had to ask him: How did he do himself in cornrows? How did he part his hair? And that's why we had the young lady, his girlfriend, Amanda come and tell you what the deal was. How you get from H1 to N? How you get from H1 to N? What's the process? You got to take a comb and pull back the

hair, part it, push it down, bobbie pins or something else, take grease, grease the scalp, and use grease on your hands and get a good grip on the hair, otherwise, it ain't happening.

"QUESTION: I'm not asking exact time. Can

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1	Summation - Defendant
1	you tell the jury, approximately, was it an hour, two
2	hours?"
3	This is Detective Ross.
4	"ANSWER: A little bit. I don't know. I
5	don't know. I am not giving you time. I don't
6	know."
7	He wouldn't answer my question.
8	Credibility.
9	"QUESTION: He was a person that was in
10	he was in your station house, right?
11	"ANSWER: Correct.
12	"QUESTION: And you were the one in charge
13	of the lineup, right?
14	"ANSWER: Yes.
15	"QUESTION: And, sir, the cornrows that he
16	eventually you say that he had and you put the hat
17	on him, what did the back of the hair look like?"
18	And I asked a question. The back of the
19	hair, remember we talked about that with Amanda, the
20	hair is short in the back and how difficult it is.
21	I asked him what the back of the hair looked
22	like and he says: What do you mean the back of the
23	hair? What cornrows look like. I don't know how you
24	want me to describe that.
25	"QUESTION: My question is, you saw the back BB

- 11	Summation - Defendant
1	of his head?
2	"ANSWER: During the lineup, no.
3	"QUESTION: At any time, sir, during the
4	lineup?
5	"ANSWER: Yeah, during the day, of course, I
6	saw his whole body during the day."
7	These are the last series of questions that
8	I am going to read to you today from Detective Ross:
9	"QUESTION: So he was able to cornrow the
10	front of his head and also do the back of his head
11	sufficiently so that the cornrows were in place,
12	right?
13	"ANSWER: They were on his head. Whether
14	they were in place or not, I don't know, Counselor.
15	"QUESTION: Did he have the use of a mirror?
16	"ANSWER: No.
17	"QUESTION: Did he have the use of any hair
18	grease?
19	"ANSWER: No hair grease, no.
20	"QUESTION: Did he have the use of a comb?
21	"ANSWER: Nope.
22	"QUESTION: How did he part his hair, sir,
23	do you know?
24	"ANSWER: No, I don't.
25	"QUESTION: Sir, it's your sworn testimony
	BB

### Summation - Defendant

that this man was able to part his hair and cornrow his hair and he cornrowed his hair without a comb or Dixie Peach, or whatever the grease is that would be utilized for the scalp to -- to grab hold?

"ANSWER: He had no grease, no.

"QUESTION: And he had no comb, correct?

"ANSWER: Correct.

"QUESTION: Still standing by that story?

"ANSWER: Yeah."

I don't think they expected anybody to ask them any questions, never put them to the test. But when we take a look at what they have done in this case, it raises all types of questions, because not only did Detective Lipson say he was mistaken, but every one of the officers that came up there and tried to convince you that it was other than something that it is, had a little variation on their own theme.

Detective Bischoff, the guy that did the composite sketch that ended up on this intelligence bulletin and also ended up on People's 18, the other composite sketch, do you remember him?

Well, we just kept asking, what's that word that's there, that initially the individual, that being Mr. Anyosa, described the person as shaven and

Summation - Defendant

then later on said he had a little bit more hair.

He did say that it was a dark gray Toyota -that's right here on Defendant's C in evidence -- and
that the person was five-ten to five-eleven, 25 to
30, and that his face was shaven and that his hair
was shaven. That's the first thing that was said.
Then it grew to a little bit more hair.

what is perplexing is that in this situation they had information coming to you about a car, that being a Honda Civic, who nobody can tell you about the front left hub cap, that being the driver's side hub cap. Do you remember that? That's Defendant's A. Nobody could tell you about the front hub cap.

They make sure they have a picture of the front driver's side hub cap of the Mazda, but not of the Honda, and they knew part of the description said that the hub cap was missing.

How did they know? Because in their own paperwork it says that. It says right in the bulletin: Witnesses describe the subject vehicle as possibly a 1998, 1999, Toyota Camry or Corolla, gray or silver with very dark tinted windows missing the front driver's side hub cap.

This bulletin went out on 5/20, May 20th, 2008. It's right here. What are they looking for?

Summation - Defendant

They are looking for a 25- to 30-year-old individual, five -- 150 to 160 pounds -- 145 pounds soaking wet -- but 5 feet 10 inches tall, medium complexion, short, black hair, and spoke with an accent. That's what the police knew was the description.

Now, what they did in this situation was try and do everything that they could to try and make you believe that what Mr. Galloway's hair looked like -- and we were very fortunate to be able to find a photograph from April, April of 2008. Those photographs are in as N, O, and P. I've got that up on the easel, because Amanda was proud of her work and she can place it in time because it dealt with an event going on in their life. That's important.

This is what his hair looked like. You can't mistake that. We were able to bring to you something that they couldn't carry their burden on. We brought you what his hair looked like. They haven't disputed that. They just keep saying don't believe it, don't believe it.

They probably anticipated -- they mentioned, well, he had glasses on today. He looks different. The man goes out at night, he couldn't hit a barn with a shotgun.

Picture of him at night in the spring of

### Summation - Defendant

2008, with his braids, with his earring, which they tried to say, well, how do you know that's his head in N, O, and P, with his glasses on.

No mention of glasses by any witness.

Matter of fact, the witness said the person was 15 to
20 feet from them shooting at them.

Not without his glasses. Why? Look at him.

He was with her and his mother, that being

Amanda Mariana, that being Mrs. Johnson, Cheryl

Johnson, and Rayford -- we brought him here. You saw
he lives and breathes. He's not a made up person -watching television.

when tested about their memories, I'll just say I was amazed that they had their memories intact. But it doesn't really bring to much question because it's important. It would be important to remember every scintilla and every fact when you know that an innocent man is being accused. That's what they did.

Ladies and gentlemen, Detective Ross said something which was very troubling, and I think it raises real questions for this case, for Josiah Galloway, because I asked him about this photograph, number 33.

Do you remember I put it up here? I made it as big as I could. I blew it up and then I said:

#### Summation - Defendant

How tall is number one? I don't know. What's the facial features on number two? I don't know. How tall is number six? Doesn't he look like a muscle -- a body builder? I don't know. Well, sir, wasn't it your job to find people that had the characteristics -- were these people standing or sitting? They were all sitting. And you made Mr. Galloway sit on phone books? Yeah, I made him sit on phone books. And you took away his hair, right?

And by the way, number six -- remember that

I went through this up to number six -- I pointed and

I said: Number six, what type of facial features

does number six have? He says, Oh, well, I think he
has a mustache.

I had to ask him: Are you swearing to this jury that you can see a mustache on this? Looks like a thumb print.

Take a look at that and then ask about the credibility of these officers. What harm would it be for him to just say you can't see number six's face, it's a bad photograph? But they said that just because they were trying to make sure that they knew that they were the police and he's the defendant.

There's shame going on here, but it's not on

Summation - Defendant

his seat. Innocence rains right here. Innocence rains in this place.

You heard from Amanda and you heard from Miss Johnson that they know what their loved one was doing. They came in here. They were truthful. They were forthright. They didn't equivocate and, more important, they withstood any level of cross-examination.

And then, what made it outrageous, what made it outrageous, was that they started to ask Miss Johnson: You didn't tell anybody about this, did you? You didn't go to the police? You didn't do this, did you?

Tell you what, if I could have jumped out of my chair, I would have at that point because that's outrageous, because they knew. That's why I put Joe LaRocca, the DA on this case, right on that stand as quickly as I could.

Why? So I could say: You knew about this.

You're a law enforcement person. What did you do to contact these people? Did you call the house? Did you do anything? No. Did anybody impede you? No one impeded us at all. We just didn't do it.

The man is sitting in jail. They knew there were alibi witnesses given to them on paper, and they

Summation - Defendant

said rot. Then they had the audacity to try and make her and her into a liar? They should be ashamed of themselves.

Ladies and gentlemen, in this situation, what they did in this situation, is consistent with what they have done in this entire case, just treat it just like another guy, just going to be another number.

Who is getting up going for job interviews every morning, looking for a job. He made a mistake -- you heard about it -- two years ago or three years ago. He admitted to it. He drove a car a few times without a license. He admitted to it. He stood up in court, didn't have to take the stand, but he did. Why? To say I did not do that.

There is a very important thing going on here today, ladies and gentlemen. When I did my opening, I started pointing to this wall and I looked around at the presidents and I remembered a quote by a person by the name of Daniel Defoe. I read this earlier in the weekend so I had it running around my mind.

The quote is: I hear much of people's calling out to punish the guilty, but very few are

Summation - Defendant concerned to clear the innocent. 1 4 to just try and make Josiah Galloway fit. 6 innocent man to prison. 11

That, that is what we saw evidenced by the actions of the police in this case in their attempts

In Old England they would say: It is better to let a guilty man go free than to send a single

I paraphrase on that: It is better, ladies and gentlemen, to evaluate the facts in this case and know that an innocent man must go free.

That's what this case is about. He was misidentified and mistaken, not because he was even near the scene, but because officers came to his house and started saying things. Oh, we got all these other charges. We are going to do this. We are going to pick you up.

And then they charged, according to them, Mr. Galloway with this crime of shooting a taxi cab driver after what? His looks, because he looks like a composite sketch?

Ladies and gentlemen, do you remember what I asked Detective Ross? Did you take any care to make sure that they even looked like Mr. Galloway? He said, Nope, just looking for black men.

MR. SCHALK: Objection, your Honor.

25

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Summation - Defendant MR. BREWINGTON: His testimony. 1 2 THE COURT: It's up to the jury. 3 MR. BREWINGTON: His testimony. Then I made a crack -- I won't repeat what I said. 4 5 He had no concern about their heights, their weight, facial features, hair, anything. Just needed 6 fillers. You didn't hear him testify that they 7 8 rejected any fillers. The cops came in. I asked him 9 about Mr. Bellamy who he said he knew for a long time 10 on the force, a man over 45 years old. Six foot, I asked him. He said about that. 11 Ladies and gentlemen, when I take my seat, 12 that will be the last time I have a chance to speak 13 to you. I'll ask you to remember the testimony of 14 Cheryl Johnson and the testimony of Amanda Mariana 15 16 and also the testimony of ADA Joe LaRocca. 17 I put him in the same category as these two 18 women, because when he came in here, he marched right 19 up to that chair and I posed questions to him and he told the truth. 20 He said: No, no one stopped us from ever 21 22 contacting those witnesses. We just didn't do it. 23 He didn't try to lie or manipulate. He just told the

24

25

truth.

Summation - Defendant
here and that, ladies and gentlemen, is the crime.
That is the crime.

So I asked you -- he came in here innocent.

So I asked you -- he came in here innocent.

I asked you questions during voir dire, if he was innocent, I asked you -- I told you during openings that he started out innocent and I anticipate he will end up innocent.

Let him stay that way. Tell the People that he's not guilty. He wasn't there. He was at home. He doesn't match the description. You haven't proved your case. So long. Sayonara. Put this level of shame to rest. Send Mr. Galloway home to Amanda. Send him home to his mom and let him go back to work. He's innocent.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, please take about five minutes. Do not talk about the case. It's critically important that you don't talk about the case.

(Whereupon, the jury exited the courtroom.)

THE CLERK: Recalling case on trial, People against Josiah Galloway.

Let the record reflect the defendant is present, the attorneys are present, and the jury is on their way.

COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.